## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLAYTON THOMAS,	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
Petitioner	:	

:

**v.** 

: NO. 02-CV-4778

BEN VARNER, et al.,

Respondents :

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW,** this day of June, 2003, it is **ORDERED** that Respondents'

"Motion for Enlargement of Time to File Objections to the Report and Recommendation of

Magistrate Judge Charles B. Smith" (docket no. 22) is **DENIED** as premature.<sup>1</sup>

BY	THE	<b>COURT:</b>	

BRUCE W. KAUFFMAN, J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although on June 10, 2003, Magistrate Judge Smith issued a Memorandum and Order scheduling an evidentiary hearing on one of Petitioner's claims, he has not yet issued a Report and Recommendation in the above-captioned matter. Accordingly, there is nothing before the Court to which Respondents may object.